## CLASSICAL UZBEK (CHAGATAY) AÇUQ YARUQ: AN EXAMPLE OF LEXICALIZATION OF COORDINATED WORDS

Andras J.E. BODROGLIGETI

In Yusuf Amiri's famous satire, *Bang u Cagir* (The Bang and the Wine) we read the following prosodic insert:

330v: 10 Ba<sup>c</sup>żī šiguftaḥātir va ḥwašvaqt gul bigin, 11 Ba<sup>c</sup>żī banafša dek salīban bašlarīn goyī. Ba<sup>c</sup>żī kalāča birlä avunup ačuq yaruq,

331r:1 Ba<sup>c</sup>żī gatīp tamaģī gurup aģzīda suyī.

It sums up the impression the poet got while, already under the influence of majoon that he had just tossed down, he was observing a strange group of people engaged, in a corner, in enjoying wine and opium. Scrutinizing them closely he found that "Some of them were lighthearted and happy like roses. Others, like violets, were hanging their heads. Still others were openly indulging in small cakes of opium. Others, their palates dry, were pouring water down in their throats.

The term ačuq yaruq had caught the attention of G. Alpay, the editor of Amīrī's text in Latin transcription,<sup>2</sup> and in a note she provided the following information: "It seems that the verb avun-that preceeds ačuq yaruq assumes' a complete sense with the help of these words. Connected with this is in Kāshgharī ['s Dīvān Luġat at-turk the twin-verb] yaru-yaşu [meaning] 'to enjoy,' to rejoice'. We must only think of the possibility that ačuq in the text was erroneously copied from such a [derivative] yašuq, although this idea is not [to be taken as] mandatory."<sup>3</sup> What Alpay suggests is that ačuq yaruq in the Bang u Čaġir is a form resulting from a copyist's error for "ačuq-yašuq and

<sup>1</sup> From A.J.E. Bodrogligeti and R. Jaeckel, Yūsuf Amiri's "The Bang and the Wine". Introduction, Transcription, English Translation, Glossary, and English Index. (Forthcoming.)

<sup>2</sup> G. Alpay, "Yusuf Emiri'nin Beng ü Çagır adlı Munazarası," TDAY Belleten, 1972 (pp. 103-127). 3 Op. cit., p. 117.

as such is a complement to avun- and serves to provide this verb with a full meaning.

Kāshgharī does indeed, include this twin-verb in his Dīvān in the infinitive (yarumaq, yašumaq), in the definite past (yarudi, yašudi), and in the Aorist (yarur, yašur) forms<sup>4</sup>. He gives a context illustration only for the definite past: er yarudi yašudi 'the man was pleased, happy'. He does not include the derivatives yaruq yašuq<sup>5</sup>. Kāshghari also has the verb avin- 'alışmak, avunmak' which occurs as a full verb without yaru- + yašu- complementing its meaning<sup>6</sup>. Old Uyghur Buddhist texts from about the same time as the data in Káshghari's work attest this twin-verb along with the derivatives yaruq yašuq. They, however, appear to be nouns in the sense of 'light, radiance' illustrated in the sentence ot önglüg yaruq yašuq 'a radiance like that of a fire'7.

and the second second

Further comparison of yaru- + yašu and avin- also reveals that avincovers a much broader semantic field both in early documents and in modern dialects where it has survived. For the data in Amiri's The Bang and the Wine the meaning 'to be preoccupied with, to indulge in, to delight in', attested abundantly in sources from as early as the Karakhanid period, is most appropriate. The twin-verb yaru- + yašu- had a much narrower semantic range: 'to be happy, to rejoice' as seen in Buddhist texts with no implication of preoccupation, addiction, and the like, as source of the subject's happiness. Also, it is of restricted distribution and does not seem to be represented in sources beyond the Karakhanid period. Neither did it survive in modern dialects. It is unlikelt that the form \*yaruq yašuq as twin-words were in use in the time of Yüsuf Amiri.

a de la companya de la companya de Barda de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la comp There is another problem with Alpay's explanation of acuq as a copyist's error for yašuq. If that were the case, the error would have been made from \*yašuq yaruq in order to yield the ačuq yaruq that we have in the text. The constituents of twin-words in Turkish very rarely alternate; e.g., erk-türk 'power', ev-barq 'house', ed-tavar 'goods', yat-baz 'stranger', körk-yaraš 'comeliness', to mention but a few always occur as above. . . . . 

6 Op. cit., p. 51

<sup>4</sup> B. Atalay, Divanü Lugat-it-Türk Dizini 'Endeks'. Ankara, 1943, p. 574.

<sup>5</sup> B. Atalay, Divanü Lugat-it-Türk Tercümesi. Cilt III. Ankara: Alâaddin Kıral Basımevi, 1941, p. 89 an a tha the state of the tage state for the state of a da ante a compositor a compositor a compositor de la compositor de la compositor de la compositor de la comp

<sup>.</sup> Na serie de la 7 V. M. Nadelaev, and others. Drevnet 'urkskil slovar'. Leningrad: The Publishing House "Nauka", 1969, p. 244a.

There is no reason to believe that a hitherto unattested \*yašuq-yaruq existed along with yaruq yašuq, amply documented in Karakhanid works.

We must look elsewhere for an explanation. I suggest that we accept ačuq yaruq as the form the author intended to use. We are justified to do so because in his Dah Nāma (Ten Love Letters)<sup>8</sup> he uses it in the same form, although with a different verb and in a different context:

246r- 10 Aradïn alayïn yatlïg hicābïn ačuq yaruq yïbarayïn čavābïn.

The Princess while reading the third love letter of her admirer makes an important decision: Instead of speaking to him in riddles and enigmas, she decides to send him a straightforward answer: "I am willing to lift the veil that keeps us apart as strangers, I am willing to send him a plain answer."<sup>9</sup>

This example, from the same author, leaves no doubt about the existence of *ačuq yaruq*, and about its functions as an adverbial complement, not bound to one particular verb, such as *avun*-, but occurring freely with other verbs, in the given example, with *yibar*- 'to send'.

Since the early form yaruq-yašuq was based on the twin-verb yaru- + yašu-, it is legitimate to ask whether ačuq-yaruq also resulted from a twin-verb \*ač- + yaru-. If it did, then we have here a derivative form of an already lexicalized coordinated verbs. If it did not, the lexicalization took place only with the derivatives in -q, the suffix that indicates the concrete result of the action expressed by the verbal base<sup>10</sup>.

Documents do not attest the existence of *ač*- and *yaru*- as twin-verbs. Between the derivatives *ačuq* and *yaruq*, however, there was an associative tie created and maintained by literary-stylistic conventions found as early as in the Karakhanid period. In rhyming prose or in poetry these words occured in coordinated expressions as adverbial modifiers or predicative complements to nouns themselves with associative ties to one another. E.g., *yüz* 'face' and *alin* 'forehead' in

3

2113

<sup>8</sup> Yūsuf Amīrī, Dah-nāma. MS London, British Museum Add. 7914, pp. 282-272. Cf. J. Eckmann, "Die tschagataische Literatur", Philologiae Turcicae Fundamenta. Vol. II. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag, 1965, pp. 319-321.

<sup>9</sup> From A.J.E. Bodrogligeti, Yüsuf Amīrī's Ten Love-letters. Introduction, Transcription, Translation into English, Glossary, and Egnlish Index. (Forthcoming).

<sup>10</sup> Cf. C. Brockelmann, Osttürkische Grammatik der Islamischen Litteratursprachen Mittelasiens. Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1954,  $\notin$  43, pp. 109–111.

· · ·

QB<sup>11</sup> 5222 Süčig sözlä barča kišigä tilin,

ačuq tut yüzüngni yaruq tut alïn.

"Say sweet words with [your] tongue to all people; keep your face open, and [your] forehead, shining." Or köngül 'heart' and zamir 'mind' in

∩ NMQ<sup>12</sup> 13r:1—3

Qāzī... ša'rī hīlalar girihidin köngli ačuq, fuqahā tazvīrlarī tīralarīdīn zamīri yaruq.

"[As for] the judge, his heart should be cleared from the knots of legal manipulations and his mind should be freed from the darkness of the deceptions of theologians."

The two derivatives may occur coordinated by the particle ham, with ačuq in the first position:

QB 2000 Közi toq käräk ham uvutlug tüzün ačuq ham yaruq bolsa qilqin sözün.

"[The prince] should be generous, modest, and noble. In regard to his actions and his words he should be sincere and clear."

The first occurence hitherto noticed of  $a\check{c}uq \ yaruq$  as a lexicalized phrase is in the Rylands Interlinear Koran translation<sup>13</sup>. In verse 35:25 Va in yukazibūka fa-qad kazzaba `llazīna min gablihim cā`athum rusuluhum bi `l-bayyināti va bi `z-zuburi va bi`l-kitābi `l-munīri. 'And if they reject thee, those before them also rejected their messengers came to them with clear arguments and with scriptures, and with illuminating Book'<sup>14</sup>, the Arabic adjective munīrun or the Persian adjective āškār is glossed by ačuq yaruq. It is significant in this example that ačuq yaruq explains the meaning of one lexical item. Eckmann, in his edition of the Turkic glosses of this document, included ačuq yaruq as a separate entry word<sup>15</sup>. For a definition of their meaning, however, he translated both elements separately "clear and illuminating", treating them as

and the second second

15 Op. cit., p. 30.

<sup>11</sup> R.R. Arat, Kutadgu Bilig. I. Metin. İstanbul: Milli Eğitim Basımevi, 1947.

<sup>12</sup> Navā'ī, *Mahbūbu'l-qulūb*. MS Ankara Üniversitesi Dil ve Tarih-Coğrafya Fakültesi, no. 1/226. I thank the Turkish National Committee of UNESCO for making it possible for me to obtain a phoyocopy of this work.

<sup>13</sup> J. Eckmann, Middle Turkic Glosses of the Rylands Interlinear Koran Translation. Bibliotheca Orientalis Hungarica, XXI. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiado, 1976.

<sup>14</sup> English translation from Maulana Muhammad Ali, The Holy Qur'ān. Sixth Edition, Lahor 1973.

twin-words in which the constituents preserved their individual meanings. Other interlinear translations of the Kor'ān explain *munīr* in the same verse as  $yaruq^{16}$ .

Lexicalization of a phrase is complete only when its constituents yield their individual definition to a new meaning that the phrase as a whole has obtained. E.g., ant ičmäk 'to take an oath' (lit., to drink the oath'), ata-ana 'parents' (lit., father-mother'), ogul-qïz 'children' (lit., 'son-daughter') are completely lexicalized<sup>17</sup>. If we accept that the elements of ačuq yaruq in the Rylands interlinear Qur'ān translation have preserved their individual meanings, we must also accept that the two words stand for two different meanings of the same Arabic word irrespective of whether or not both meanings fit the text of the Qur'an. It would be most unlikelt that a verbatim translation of the cxtent as to put 'with clear and illuminating book' instead of 'with an illuminating book'.

To further explore this issue we must remember that at least two orher interlinear Qur'än translations from the same period translate munir with one word only, and that word is *yaruq*, not *ačuq*<sup>18</sup>. This suggests that *yaruq* or *ačuq yaruq* could stand for A. *munir* but *ačuq* alone could not. It seems that the coordinated relationship between these words that was accentuated in QB 2000 above by the conjunction ham has changed in the process of lexicalization.

To find out more about the nature of this change let us consider the word ačuq in other combinations. Along with ačuq yaruq Eckmann also registers ačuq āškārā in the phrasal verb ačuq āškārā qil- which he translates as 'to do openly and publicly' for stem IV of 'alana or for P. āškārā kardan<sup>19</sup>. This occurs in the translation of Qur. 16,23: Lā carama anna 'llāha ya'lamu mā yusirrūna va mā yu'linūna ('Undoubtedly Allah knows what they hide and what they manifest'), which the Turkish explains in segments<sup>20</sup> as harayna/

18 See Eckmann's note to ačuq taruq. Op. cit., p. 30. The Qarshi Commentary also translates Ar. mubinum with T. ačuq. Cf. A.K. Borovkov, Leksika sredneaziatskogo tefsira XII-XIII vv. Moscow: The Publishing House of Oriental Literature, 1963. p. 65, s.v. ačuq.

19 op. cit., p. 30.

20 For the method the flossist used in preparing his interlinear translation and the lessons we must draw from it see A.J.E. Bodrogligeti, "The Rechnique of the Glossist as a Key to Understanding the Lexical Material of Early Eastern Middle-Turkic Interlinear Qur'ān Translations," UAJb 50 (1978), pp. 17-24.

<sup>16</sup> See fn. 18.

<sup>17</sup> Lexicalization of this type of structures is especially proressed in Modern Uzbek. Cf. V. V. Reshetov, Osnovy fonetiki, morfologii i sintaksisa uzbekskogo iazyka. Tashkent: The State Publishing Hoyse "Sredna: a i vysša: a škola", 1961, p. 94,  $\notin$  141.

Tangri bilür | ani kim yašarurlar | yimä | ani kim ačuq āškārā qilurlar which Eckmann translates as 'Certainly God knows what they hide and what they do openly and publicly'21. Also in this example, ačuq occurs as the first element of the phrase. The Shushter copy of the Muqaddimatu'l-adab<sup>22</sup> contains the Khorazmian Turkic gloss ačuq durust qildi sözini as the explanation of one single phrase where ačuq occupies the first position<sup>23</sup>. An important eaxmple occurs in the Uyghur translation of the Suvarnaprabhasa<sup>24</sup>. The phrase acuq adirtlig is used in the following sentence: Adinlar köngülin biltäči ačuq adirtlig körtäči 'He must know other people's hearts, he must distinctly see them'. The Säkiz Yükmäk<sup>25</sup> also provides testimony of the use of this phrase in Ačuq adirtlig bilgäli uqgali yarayur üčün 'So that it be appropriate for knowing and understanding it distinctly<sup>26</sup><sup>26</sup>. It is common to all the examples above that their meanings are not affected significantly by removing ačuq, the first element of the phrase: Tengri bilür ... ani kim äškärä gilurlar 'God knows ... what they reveal', or ... durust qildi sözini 'he corrected his words', or ... adirtliig körtäči 'he must distinctly see', and ... adärtlig bilgäli 'for knowing it distinctly', in essence, mean the same thing as with the addition of ačuq. If we remove āškārā, durust, and adirtlig, the second elements of the pairs, from the above sentences, ačuq will not be sufficient to convey the intended meaning: Tengri bilür ani kim ačuq ... gilurlar 'God knows what they make open', ačuq ... qïldi sözini 'he made his words open' ačuq ... körtäci 'he must see it clearly', ačuq ... bilgäli 'for knowing it theroughly are not specific enough or, as compared to the original versions, even point in a different direction.

It is obvious that in the pairs considered above the second element carries the main sense. The examples also show that  $a\check{c}uq$  is always the first element of the pair, and that there are no variants of the pairs discussed in which the order of the constituent words would be reversed, i.e., we do not have pairs such as  $*\bar{a}\check{s}k\bar{a}r\bar{a}\;a\check{c}uq$ ,  $*durust\;a\check{c}uq$ , or  $ad\ddot{v}rtl\ddot{v}g\;a\check{c}uq$ . It follows, then, that the role of  $a\check{c}uq$  in the phrases we are considering is secondary, and that its position and function are determined by the second element, not by any other part

21 For a few texrual problems in the edition see A.J.Z. Bodrogligeti, "J. Eckmann, Middle Turkic Glosses of the Rylands Klran Translation," *IJMES*, 11 (1980), pp. 130–134.

22 Recently published by N. Yüce, Ebu'l-käsim Cärulläh Mahmud bin Muhammad bin Ahmed ez-Zamahsari el-Hvärizmi, Mukaddimetü'l-Edeb. Ankara, 1988.

23 Op. cit., p. 31, 5:2.

24 Nadelaev, p. 7a.

25 W. Bang, A von Gabain, and G.R. Rachmati, "Türkische Turfantexte VI. Das buddhistische Sutra Sakiz Yükmak," SPAW 1934, X. pp. 92-192.

26 Op. cit., note 383.

of the sentence in which it occurs. Its function is that of an adverbial modifier serving to intensify the meaning of the second element.  $A\check{c}uq$ , as it appears in these examples, could be best described as an intensifier of limited distribution used only with a group of specific words.

The combination of  $a\check{c}uq$ , yaruq, from an associative relationship in stylistic conventions through appearing as twin-words with coordinated or converging meanings, developmed into a structure of modifier + head and reached the level of lexicalization at that stage. As it appears in Yūsuf Amīrī's *The Bang and the Wine* and in his *Ten Love-letters*,  $a\check{c}uq$  yaruq is one lexeme with adverbial ('quite manifestly') or adjectival ('quite clear') menings.

Data from Modern Uzbek support this view. Borockov's Uzbek-Russian dictionary<sup>27</sup> includes očiq-oydin as separate entry with reference ot očiq 4. where it is explained as 1. 'perfectly obvious; manifest; 2. 'obviously'; 3. 'frank, candid'; 4. 'frankly, candidly; directly;<sup>28</sup>. Ma'rufov's explanatory dictionary<sup>29</sup> has očiq-yorug as separate entry with reference to očiq-oydin as its synonym. Očiq-oydin is explained here as 'quite clear', 'doubtless' with illustrations such as očiq-oydin masala 'a clear issue', očiq-oydin cavob 'a clear answer', or 'open, straight' as in očiq-oydin gap 'straight talk'<sup>30</sup>. As their definitions and the attached illustrations show, očiq-oydin and its synonym očiq-yorug are full-fledged lexical items and can occur in the function of adverbs and adjectives.

The existence of *očiq-yoruģ* in Modern Uzbek with the characteristics it had in *The Bang and the Wine* and in the *Ten Love-letters*, is another proof<sup>31</sup> of the close ties that exist between this language and the language of the classical literature of the Central Asian Turks.

30 Op. cit., p. 533a.

31 The same conclusion was reached in a historical analysis of another lexico-grammatical item cf Amīrī's "The Bang and the Wine". See, A.J.E. Bodrogligeti, "A Participle for Curses and Good Wishes: The Roots of an Uzbek Phenomenon in Yûsuf Amīrī's "The Bang and the Wine," UAJb. 63 (1991), pp. 000

<sup>27</sup> A.K. Borovkov, and others, Uzbekso-russkił slovar'. Moscow: The State Publishing House of Foreign and National Dictionaries, 1959.

<sup>28</sup> Op. cit., p. 310b.

<sup>29</sup> Z. M. Ma'rufov, Üzbek tilining izohli lughati. Vol. 1-2. Moscow: The Publishing House "Russki: azyk", 1981.